

Charles L.A. Terreni Attorney at Law

February 21, 2014

1508 Lady Street Columbia, South Carolina 29201 Telephone (803) 771-7228 Fax (803) 771-8778 charles.terreni@terrenilaw.com www.terrenilaw.com

The Honorable Jocelyn G. Boyd, Esquire Chief Clerk and Administrator South Carolina Public Service Commission 101 Executive Center Drive Columbia, SC 29210

RE: Docket No. 2013-275-WS Application of Carolina Water Service for Adjustment of Rates and Charges.

Dear Ms. Boyd:

Carolina Water Service ("CWS") has received a late-filed exhibit by Mr. Perry Johnston, which has been marked by the Court Reporter as "Late Filed Exhibit 6". For ease of reference, CWS has attached a copy of the exhibit with numbered pages to 001-0192. The exhibit appears to contain six distinct documents, which are identified below:

- 1. Letter from Larry W. Mitchell, Hartman and Associates Southeast to York County, dated November 24, 1998. (pp. 003-005).
- 2. Letter from Andrew Dopson, Utilities, Inc. to Gerald Hartman, dated October 6, 1998. (p. 006).
- 3. Slide presentation entitled "Carolina Water Service Preliminary Acquisition Study, Including River Hills, dated December 1, 1999. (p. 007-032).
- 4. Letter from Gerald C. Hartman, Hartman and Associates Southeast to Al Greene, Asst. Cty. Manager, York County, dated June 1, 1998, with attached Comparable Sales Report prepared by Hartman and Associates, Southeast, (pp. 033-050)
- 5. Memorandum entitled "Considerations and Background Information Lake Wylie Area Water and Sewer Service" December 14, 2005. (pp. 051-058)
- 6. "Estimate of Value of the Carolina Water Service, Inc. Water and Wastewater System in York County, South Carolina", dated August 23, 2007 (pp. 058-092).

CWS submits that none of the above referenced documents will be useful to the Commission as it deliberates in the pending rate case. The oldest document, the 1998 appraisal

by Hartman and Associates, is over 15 years old; the most recent document, the anonymously authored estimate of CWS systems in York County, is over six years old. Whatever information is contained in these documents is too remote to be useful or probative in the current case. Many of the documents are inherently unreliable. The author(s) of at least three of the documents (no. 3, 5, and 6 above) are not even identified. The 2007 estimate (no. 6) also relies on erroneous assumptions such as the following: "Due to a lack of rate base, the ORS constrains CWS profit to a rate of return on operating expenditures". (p.083). This statement is simply wrong. In its decision in the most recent rate case at the time of the report, the Commission agreed with the ORS that CWS had a company-wide rate base of approximately \$15 million. Order 2005-328. This finding was reaffirmed by the Commission in a directive of February 7, 2007. See Docket 2004-357-WS. As the Commission is aware, CWS has always had its rates set on the basis of return on rate base.

If the Commission should have any questions or concerns regarding the documents submitted by Mr. Johnston, CWS would welcome the opportunity to address them.

With best wishes, I am,

Sincerely yours,

Charles L.A. Terreni

Enclosures (as stated)

c: Jeffrey M. Nelson, Esquire Florence P. Belser, Esquire Laura P. Valtorta, Esquire Scott Elliott, Esquire Mr. Richard J. Durham